



Carbon Sequestration of Urban Trees along Selected Roads in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

BADA, B.S.^{1*}; OYEBAMI, I.E.¹; ADEOFUN, C.O.¹; SOAGA, J.A.²; ADEDEJI, O.H.¹ and TOWOLAWI, A.T.¹

¹Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

²Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the major greenhouse gas and global warming propellant which is released from human activities especially vehicular emission. The study examined carbon sequestration potential of roadsides trees in Abeokuta, Ogun State. The major roads selected were Asero-Obantoko (AO), Brewery-Itaoshin (BI), Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba (IMI), Presidential Boulevard Kuto (PBK) and Pansheke-Ojere (PO). After tree identification, concentrations of CO₂, CO, NO_x, SO₂ and H₂S along the roadsides were determined using Land-Duo gas analyser. Haga Altimeter, Girth Tape and Range Finder were used to determine diameter at breast height and height of the trees for the estimation of Above-Ground Biomass (AGB). Regression model was used to estimate carbon sequestered by the urban trees. Data obtained were subjected to descriptive and inferential statistics. Mango (*Mangifera indica*), Gmelina (*Gmelina arborea*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) were identified in all the roads while Cassia (*Cassia seamea*) were found along BI road, Step tree (*Terminalia mentalis*) along PBK road, and Masquerade (*Polyalthia longifolia*) along AO, IMI and PO roads. The non-green spaces zones had ($p < 0.05$) higher CO₂ and other gases compared to the green spaces zones. The non-green spaces zones had ($p < 0.05$) higher CO₂ and other gases compared to the green spaces zones. Highest AGB 66.82×10^6 kg m⁻² was estimated in Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) along BI roads while highest carbon sequestration of 14273.00 kg m⁻² was calculated for Gmelina (*Gmelina arborea*) along PO road. Carbon dioxide concentration due to vehicular emission reduced with roadsides trees.

Keywords: Vehicular emission; Greenhouse gases; Carbon stock; Urban trees

JoST. 2018. 9(2): 67-77.

Accepted for Publication, September 17, 2018

INTRODUCTION

Immigration has been identified as a major factor responsible for increase in population of some countries. Rise in population with consequent increasing vehicular traffic has tendencies to result in high levels of carbon dioxide in the environment. The severe increase in temperature from 1971 to 2005 in Nigeria could be attributed to the impact of climate change and its accompanying global warming previously

reported (Mabo, 2006; Odjudo, 2011). A greenbelt/ space/ pocket is the plantation of pollutant tolerant trees (evergreen and deciduous) that could filter, intercept and absorb pollutants thereby helping to mitigate air pollution in an effective manner (Sharma and Roy, 1997). Fruits and forest trees help mitigate climate change by absorbing CO₂ and sequestering atmospheric carbon (Abdollahi *et al.*, 2000).

*Correspondence to: Bada, B.S.; badabs@funaab.edu.ng

Green space mitigates air pollution and such roles in urban ecosystem need to be taken cognizant of as the greenbelt acts as hotspots in urban biodiversity (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2001). Metropolitan green roofs (Kuronuma and Watanabe, 2017) are thought of as an effective means to mitigate metropolitan millennium environmental problems with the realized benefits that include urban heat island effect mitigation (Susca *et al.*, 2011), cooling and insulation of edifices (Wong *et al.*, 2003; Sailor, 2008), storm water management (Villarreal and Bengtsson, 2005; Getter *et al.*, 2007), air pollution abatement (Yan *et al.*, 2008), harbouring of other organisms (Kadas, 2006), and carbon sequestration (Getter *et al.*, 2009; Ondono *et al.*, 2016). The green pockets do not only have impact on local and regional air quality by shifting the atmospheric environment, lowering

temperature and other microclimatic effects, abating and maintaining air pollutants, and providing cooling effects but also aid to resolve, absorb and adsorb particle pollutants that could damage human inhalation tracks; sequester CO₂ and other obnoxious gasses and replace the atmosphere with enough oxygen.

Ogun State Government is envisioned to make Ogun an Industrial State and also there is notable increase in the population of Abeokuta, the State Capital which might be due to the influx of people from Lagos State. The increasing population, industries and vehicular traffic have a tendency to increase the concentration of greenhouse gases in the environment. Therefore, there is need to investigate the potential roadside vegetation to sequester carbon in the atmosphere.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area

This study was carried out in Abeokuta metropolis, Ogun State Capital. The area is located in the sub-humid tropical region of South-west, Nigeria (Latitudes 7° 5' N to 7° 20' N and Longitude 3° 17' E to 3° 27' E) and has a geographical area of 1, 256 km. Abeokuta shares a common boundary with Lagos and Oyo States. The area has a mean annual rainfall of 1090.5 mm and massive rugged geological formations (Akanni, 1992). Different forest tree species can be found within the city dispersedly and around the neighbourhoods. The selected roads were Asero-Obantoko (AO), Brewery-Itaoshin (BI), Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba (IMI), Presidential Boulevard-Kuto (PBK) and Pansheke-Ojere (PO).

Traffic Densities and Plant Identification on the Selected Roads

Traffic count data for the selected roads were obtained from Bureau of Transportation, Ogun State. The data were further analysed and the percentage of traffic count for each study road in relation to the other roads were computed. A

study was carried out to identify the plant species within the selected green space.

Air Quality Assessment

Air quality assessment was carried out at tree and tree-free (control) zones for parameters such as Carbon dioxide, Carbon monoxide, Hydrogen sulphide, Sulphur dioxide and Nitrogen oxides using handheld multi-gas (Land-Duo 2000, Flue Gas) analyzer.

Estimation of the Sequestered Carbon

The amount of carbon sequestered in the trees were estimated by determining (1) total (green) volume/ weight of the tree, (2) dry weight of the tree, and (3) weight of carbon in the tree.

Total (green) weight of the tree

An algorithm was adopted to calculate the above ground biomass of a tree. For trees with diameter less than 11 inches or 27.94 cm, $W = 0.25D^2H$ while $W = 0.15D^2H$ with diameter greater than or equal to 11 inches or 27.94 cm. These two equations were the “average” of all the species (Clark *et al.*, 1986). Where W is the Above

Ground Biomass (AGB) of the tree in kilogram, D is the Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) of the trunk in metres, and H is the Height of the tree in metres. The root system weighs about 20 % as much as the above-ground biomass of the tree. Therefore, to determine the total green weight of the tree, the above ground biomass of the tree was multiplied by 120 %.

Dry weight of the tree

This was calculated using the method of Aboal *et al.* (2005) and Dewald *et al.* (2005). According to Dewald *et al.* (2005), an average tree is 72.5 % dry matter and 27.5 % moisture taking all species into account. Therefore, to determine the dry weight of the tree, the total green weight of the tree was multiplied by 72.5 %.

Measurement of girth and tree height

The girth of individual tree species was obtained using girthing tape at 1.3 m from the tree base and the Diameter at Breast Height

(DBH) was computed. The unit of measurement was centimeter and was converted to meter by multiplying the value by a correction factor of 0.3 (Hamilton, 1975). Height of tree species was measured using the Haga Altimeter. This was calibrated before being used based on the height of the trees i.e. about 9 m for very tall trees while shorter trees were measured at about 3 m away from the tree (Hamilton, 1975).

Weight of carbon in the tree

The average carbon content is generally 50 % of the trees total volume (Birdsey, 1992; Aboal *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, to determine the weight of carbon in the tree, the dry weight of the tree was multiplied by 50 %.

Statistical Analysis

The obtained data were subject to descriptive and inferential statistics. Duncan's Multiple Range Test was used for means separation, at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Traffic Densities of the Roads and Plant Identification

Percentage number of passing vehicles in the study areas per hour is as shown in Table 1. The order both in the morning and evening was observed to be Presidential Boulevard-Kuto (PBK) > Brewery-Itaoshin (BI) > Asero – Obantoko (AO) > Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba (IMI) > Panseke-Ojere (PO). The variation of traffic counts observed showed that PBK had the highest percentage vehicle counts per hour with a range of 21.03 to 37.20 % in the morning periods and a range of 25.89 to 39.53 % in the afternoon periods. The least traffic count (%) was detected to be along PO roads per hour with a range of 10.86 to 15.94 % in the morning periods and a range of 5.51 to 11.02 % in the afternoon periods. However, the road survey showed that the study roads were in all busy (Table 1). The PBK had more greenbelt than any other while IMI had the highest number of diversified tree species. This

would enhance reduction of prevailing exhaust fumes (emitted pollutants) from the high percent vehicle counts. Tree species found along the major and study roadsides in the metropolis (Ogun State capital, Abeokuta) were a mix of native tree species that existed prior to the development of the city and exotic species that were introduced by past governments and residents in the area. Gmelina (*Gmelina arborea*), Mango (*Mangifera indica*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) were present along the five roads while Cassia (*Cassia seamea*) were identified in BI, Step tree (*Terminalia mentalis*) in PBK, Masquarade tree (*Polyantha longivolia*) in PO, IMI and AO.

Air quality assessment in the vicinity of the urban forest

The results of air quality parameters along tree zone and tree-free zone (control) of the roads were shown in Tables 2 – 6. Significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher concentration of air pollutants were

Table 1: Traffic Count (%) of the Study (greenspaces) Areas

| Time | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 7-8 AM | 18.62 | 28.08 | 15.94 | 19.30 | 18.07 |
| 8-9 AM | 17.78 | 30.40 | 12.11 | 18.23 | 21.47 |
| 9-10 AM | 19.43 | 37.20 | 10.86 | 14.81 | 17.69 |
| 10-11 AM | 21.07 | 31.62 | 12.81 | 17.09 | 17.41 |
| 11AM-12 PM | 22.35 | 21.03 | 13.75 | 18.44 | 24.43 |
| 12-1 PM | 23.61 | 34.16 | 11.02 | 14.93 | 16.29 |
| 1-2 PM | 24.74 | 25.89 | 5.70 | 14.86 | 28.80 |
| 2-3 PM | 33.08 | 33.11 | 6.61 | 10.44 | 16.75 |
| 3-4 PM | 29.57 | 39.53 | 6.04 | 9.54 | 15.31 |
| 4-5 PM | 30.09 | 35.51 | 6.86 | 12.62 | 14.92 |
| 5-6 PM | 27.23 | 31.36 | 6.85 | 13.60 | 20.95 |
| 6-7 PM | 25.86 | 29.64 | 5.51 | 14.93 | 24.05 |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere,

IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko

Source: Ogun State Bureau of Transportation

Table 2: Levels of CO₂ at the Greenspaces

| Tree | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Gmelina | 1.50 ^b | 1.70 ^b | 1.50 ^b | 1.90 ^{ab} | 1.87 ^a |
| Mango | 2.43 ^a | 1.27 ^b | 1.40 ^b | 1.99 ^{ab} | 1.72 ^a |
| Neem | 1.43 ^b | 2.63 ^a | 1.43 ^b | 1.51 ^b | 1.84 ^a |
| Cassia | 1.24 ^b | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 1.70 ^b | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 1.22 ^b | 1.80 ^{ab} | 1.87 ^a |
| Control | 2.58 ^a | 2.83 ^a | 2.58 ^a | 2.20 ^a | 2.18 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere,

IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko

Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Table 3: Levels of NO_x (ppm) at the Greenspaces

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Gmelina | 0.67 ^b | 0.63 ^b | 0.67 ^b | 0.53 ^a | 0.06 ^b |
| Mango | BDL | 0.67 ^b | BDL | 0.53 ^a | 0.04 ^b |
| Neem | 0.50 ^b | 0.33 ^b | 0.50 ^b | 0.01 ^b | 0.04 ^b |
| Cassia | 0.33 ^b | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 0.50 ^b | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 0.33 ^b | 0.07 ^b | 0.06 ^b |
| Control | 2.55 ^a | 2.55 ^a | 2.56 ^a | 0.52 ^a | 0.35 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere,

IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko, BDL = Below Detection Limit

Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Table 4: Levels of CO (ppm) at the Greenspaces

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Gmelina | 0.63 ^b | 1.83 ^b | 0.63 ^b | 2.10 ^b | 0.27 ^a |
| Mango | 0.50 ^b | 1.67 ^b | 0.50 ^b | 2.00 ^b | BDL |
| Neem | 0.67 ^b | 0.83 ^b | 0.67 ^b | BDL | 0.50 ^b |
| Cassia | 0.30 ^b | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 1.00 ^b | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 0.33 ^b | 1.00 ^b | 0.27 ^b |
| Control | 5.62 ^a | 5.75 ^a | 5.62 ^a | 4.67 ^a | 3.00 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko, BDL = Below Detection Limit
Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Table 5: Levels of SO₂ (ppm) at the Greenspaces

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Gmelina | 0.11 ^b | 0.12 ^b | 0.11 ^b | BDL | 0.02 ^{ab} |
| Mango | 0.01 ^b | 0.23 ^b | 0.01 ^b | BDL | 0.03 ^a |
| Neem | 0.03 ^b | 0.10 ^b | 0.03 ^b | BDL | BDL |
| Cassia | 0.08 ^b | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 0.20 ^b | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 0.03 ^b | BDL | 0.02 ^{ab} |
| Control | 0.33 ^a | 0.40 ^a | 0.33 ^a | 0.33 ^a | 0.04 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko, BDL = Below Detection Limit
Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Table 6: Levels of H₂S (ppm) at the Greenspaces

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|
| Gmelina | 0.06 ^b | 0.60 ^b | 0.06 ^b | BDL | 0.03 ^a |
| Mango | BDL | 0.01 ^b | BDL | BDL | 0.02 ^a |
| Neem | 0.01 ^b | 0.06 ^b | 0.01 ^b | BDL | BDL |
| Cassia | 0.03 ^b | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 0.01 ^b | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 0.03 ^b | BDL | 0.01 ^a |
| Control | 0.28 ^a | 0.27 ^a | 0.28 ^a | 0.20 | 0.02 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko, BDL = Below Detection Limit
Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

observed in tree-free zones compared to tree zones.

Levels of CO₂ along the Roads

Levels of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in % were observed to be highest (7.30) along Presidential Boulevard-Kuto (PBK) road and from 1.27

around Mango (*Magnifera indica*) to 2.63 around Neem (*Azadirachta indica*). Along Asero-Obantoko (AO), it ranged from 1.72 around Mango (*Magnifera indica*) to 1.87 around both Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*) and Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*). The least (5.55) level of CO₂ was detected along Pansheke-Ojere (PO)

road between 1.22 around Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) and 1.50 around Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*) (Table 2).

Levels of NO_x along the Roads

The nitrogen oxide, NO_x (ppm) concentrations were detected to be highest (1.79) along PBK road and ranged from 0.33 around both Mango (*Magnifera indica*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) to 0.63 Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*). The least (0.20) concentrations were observed along AO road and ranged from 0.04 around both Mango (*Magnifera indica*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) to 0.06 around both Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*) and Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) (Table 3).

Levels of CO along the Roads

Level of carbon monoxide, CO (ppm) was observed to be highest (5.10) along IMI road, where it spanned between Below Detection Limit (BDL) around Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and 2.10 around Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*). The least (2.04) level was detected along AO road to span between BDL around Mango (*Magnifera indica*) and 1.27 around Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) (Table 4).

Levels of SO₂ along the Roads

Concentrations of Sulphur dioxide, SO₂ (ppm) were detected to be highest (0.52) along PBK road where it ranged from 0.10 around both

Mango (*Magnifera indica*) and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) to 0.20 around Step tree (*Terminalia mentalis*). The least (BDL) concentrations were observed along IMI road (Table 5).

Levels of H₂S along the Roads

Levels of Hydrogen sulphide, H₂S (ppm) were observed to be highest (0.73) along PBK road and ranged between 0.01 around Step tree (*Terminalia mentalis*) and 0.60 around Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*). The least (BDL) concentrations were observed along IMI road (Table 6).

Heights of Identified Trees

The shortest: 967 cm tree species was observed to be Mango (*Magnifera indica*) along PBK road while the highest (2300 cm) tree species was Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) along PO. However, the tree species' height was significantly ($p < 0.05$) different along all the roadsides (Table 7).

Diameter at Breast Height of the Tree Species

The diameter at breast height (cm) of the tree species was observed to be broadest at PBK and it ranged from 23.70 in Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) to 61.50 in Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*). Thinnest tree was observed at BI where it spanned between 20.00 in Gmelina (*Gmelina arboreal*) and 33.57 in Mango (*Magnifera indica*) (Table 8).

Table 7: Greenspace Tree Species' Height (cm)

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| Gmelina | 15.60 ^a | 22.47 ^a | 21.00 ^a | 21.83 ^a | 15.60 ^a |
| Mango | 11.33 ^a | 9.67 ^b | 14.77 ^a | 14.67 ^{ab} | 11.33 ^a |
| Neem | 15.63 ^a | 13.53 ^{ab} | 15.67 ^a | 14.83 ^{ab} | 15.63 ^a |
| Cassia | 17.20 ^a | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 17.00 ^{ab} | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 23.00 ^a | 22.83 ^a | 17.20 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko

Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Above Ground Biomass of the Identified Tree

Above Ground Biomass (AGB) in kg m^{-2} of tree species along PO road ranged from 8.70×10^6 in Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) to 12.30×10^6 in Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*). Along IMI road, it ranged from 4.83×10^6 in Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*) to 15.40×10^6 in Neem (*Azadirachta indica*). However, it was observed that Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) had the highest AGB among the tree species identified along the roadsides and ranged from 8.70×10^6 along PO road to 66.82×10^6 along BI roads (Table 9).

Weight of Carbon in Identified Trees

Values of the estimated carbon sequestered in various were shown in Table 10. There was variation in amount of carbon sequestered in the tree species along the roadsides. It was observed that carbon (kg m^{-2}) sequestered in Cassia (*Cassia seamea*) was 2031.10 along BI road, Gmelina (*Gmelina arborea*): 14273.00 along PBK, Mango (*Magnifera indica*): 6652.00 along PO road, Neem (*Azadirachta indica*): 6366.00 along IMI, and Masquerade tree (*Polyalthia longifolia*): 2103.10 along AO road.

Table 8: Greenspace Tree Species' Diameter at Breast Height(cm)

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Gmelina | 20.00 ^{bc} | 61.50 ^a | 35.90 ^a | 42.10 ^{ab} | 22.00 ^{bc} |
| Mango | 33.57 ^a | 44.70 ^b | 53.00 ^b | 34.80 ^{ab} | 33.57 ^a |
| Neem | 16.33 ^c | 38.50 ^{bc} | 36.95 ^a | 54.60 ^a | 16.33 ^c |
| Cassia | 27.87 ^{ab} | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 23.70 ^c | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 33.50 ^a | 19.30 ^b | 27.87 ^{ab} |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko

Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

Table 9: Greenspace Tree Species' above Ground Biomass (kg m^{-2})

| Tree Species | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Gmelina | 3115.00 ^a | 34664.58 ^a | 12.07E6 ^a | 14.26E6 ^a | 3115.00 ^a |
| Mango | 4384.00 ^a | 7099.47 ^a | 16.15E6 ^a | 8.06E6 ^a | 4384.00 ^a |
| Neem | 66.80E6 ^a | 28.26E6 ^a | 8.70E6 ^a | 15.40E6 ^a | 66.82E6 ^a |
| Cassia | 4310.00 ^a | - | - | - | - |
| Step tree | - | 3510.49 ^a | - | - | - |
| Masquerade | - | - | 12.30E6 ^a | 4830843 ^a | 4310.00 ^a |

Table 10: Greenspace Tree Species' Weight of Carbon

| Species | Weight of carbon in tree (kg m^{-2}) | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | BI | PBK | PO | IMI | AO |
| Gmelina | 937.70 ^{ab} | 14273.00 ^a | 4974.00 ^a | 5872.00 ^a | 937.70 ^{ab} |
| Mango | 1905.20 ^a | 2923.00 ^b | 6652.00 ^a | 3322.00 ^a | 1905.20 ^a |
| Neem | 638.00 ^b | 2925.00 ^b | 3597.00 ^a | 6366.00 ^a | 638.00 ^b |
| Cassia | 2031.10 ^a | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Step tree | NA | 1551.00 ^b | NA | NA | NA |
| Masquerade | NA | NA | 5068.00 ^a | 1989.00 ^a | 2031.10 ^a |

BI = Brewery-Itaoshin, PBK = Presidential Boulevard-Kuto, PO = Panseke-Ojere, IMI = Iyana Mortuary-Idiaba, AO = Asero-Obantoko

Means with different superscripts in the same column indicate significant difference at $p < 0.05$ (DMRT)

DISCUSSION

The traffic counts along the roads indicated possible high levels of obnoxious pollutants. This corroborated to the reiteration that vehicular emissions could be responsible for global air pollution more than any other human activity and possibly dispersing virtually all of the gaseous and metal dusts in urban air as well as major portions of related organic compounds, fine particles and toxic chemicals (Durrani *et al.*, 2004; Chauhan, 2010; Narwaria and Kush, 2012). Presidential Boulevard road with the highest traffic density had higher concentration of greenhouse gases. This is in agreement Bada and Akande (2010) where high concentrations of greenhouse gases along roadsides in Abeokuta were reported.

The tree species height recorded in this study was higher than Bhatt *et al.* (2010) highest value. The highest AGB (kg m⁻²) observed in Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) suggested that it is a fast growing species which respond better to high levels of CO₂ than slow growing hardwoods do (Tanglely, 2001). The high values of height and DBH indicated that the available tree species along the roadsides had adapted to the existing gaseous emission conditions. Highest AGB was estimated in Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) along BI roads whereas highest carbon sequestration was observed in Gmelina (*Gmelina arborea*) along PO road. This corroborated Khan and Miria (2013) findings that the total biomass was maximum in *Syzygium cumini* while maximum carbon sequestration was in *Millingtonia hortensis*. The reason being that the whole carbon sequestered would not participate in growth production and many CO₂ elevated level researches reiterated that higher rates of photosynthesis would not result in a corresponding increase in biomass and yield of tree species (Sulpice *et al.*, 2009). Significant (p<0.05) variation in the greenspace tree species biomass (AGB) corroborated to previous observation and documentation that tree species

responded to a reduction in edaphic (belowground) resources (such as water and nutrients) with an upturn in the biomass allocation to the roots (Poorter and Nagel, 2000). Khan and Miria (2013) affirmed that it is necessary to think through maintenance practices such as fertilizer application to enhance effectiveness of the green spaces tree species. Greenpocket and earths constitute the main terrestrial carbon pool with potential of absorbing and storing carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere (Kaul *et al.*, 2010). Carbon stocking and other nutrient assimilation and storage vary with different plant species and parts. Non-uniformity in green spaces tree species features (height, diameter and biomass) might be as a result of relevance of physiological and morphological traits which regulate the sequestration of carbon in their various body parts (Khan and Miria, 2013). Various tree species respond differently to carbon utilization (Negi *et al.*, 2003). Long term exposure of tree species to increased CO₂ resulted in significant growth and biomass production. This high development could be from the production of supplementary photosynthetic enzymes and their subdivision into various plant portions to eventually increase the total biomass production. Upturn in biomass production might be due to enriched photosynthesis rate and fixation of carbon and its allocation to the tree species components. High levels of CO₂ stirred total dry biomass accumulation which is a prominent biological response to elevated CO₂ (Righetti *et al.*, 1996; Atkinson *et al.*, 1997). Baker and Allen (2005) also reported similar observation that CO₂ augmentation significantly influenced tree species covering photosynthetic rate. Increase in tree species photosynthesis rate had also been observed under high levels CO₂ (Bhatt, *et al.*, 2010). Variations among tree species in growth features might explain reasons the estimations of carbon storage can differ among tree species even of the same diameter (Johnson and Gerhold,

2001; Freilicher, 2012). This might be that nutrient assimilation and storage in various tree species components differs according to its utilization

to normalize various physiological processes (Voronin *et al.*, 2005).

CONCLUSION

Cities are a major source of vehicular emissions due to their heavy traffic density and vehicular emissions contribute to greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. Among the tree species identified along roadsides; Gmelina, Neem,

Mango, and Masquerade trees were common. These tree species along roadsides have great carbon sequestration potential with the Gmelina tree (*Gmelina arborea*) having the highest.

REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, K. K. and Appeaning, A. (2000).** Global climate change and the urban forest, Baton Rouge, LA: GCRCC, and Franklin Press, 77p
- Aboal, J. R., Arevalo, J. R. and Ferrnandez, A. (2005).** Allometric relationships of different tree species and stand above ground biomass in the Gomera laurel forest (Canary Islands), Flora-Morphology, Distributin , Functional Ecology of plants 200: 264 – 274
- Akanni, C. O. (1992).** Climate. *In: Ogun State in Map* (Eds). Onakomaiya, S. O., Oyesiku, K. and Jegede. J. Rex Charles Publication. Ibadan, Nigeria. Pp. 21-23.
- Atkinson, C. J., Taylor, J. M., Wilkins, D and Besford, R.T. (1997).** Effects of elevated CO₂ on chloroplast components. Gas exchange and growth of oak and cherry. *Tree Physiology*, 17, 319-325.
- Bada, B.S. and Akande, E.A. (2010).** Greenhouse gases concentrations in the atmosphere along selected roads in Abeokuta, Ogun State, Nigeria. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management* 3(1): 78-82.
- Baker, J. T and Allen, L.H. (2005).** Rice growth, yield and photosynthesis responses to elevated CO₂ and drought. *Journal of Crop Improvements*, 13, 7-30.
- Birdsey, R.A. (1992).** Carbon storage and accumulation in United States Forest Ecosystem. General Technical Report. U. S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, Radnor, p66.
- Bhatt, R. K., Baig, M. J., Tiwari, H. S and Roy, S. (2010).** Growth, yield and photosynthesis of *Panicum maximum* and *Stylosanthes hamata* under elevated CO₂. *Journal of Environmental Biology* 31, 549-552.
- Chauhan, A. (2010).** Photosynthetic pigment change in some selected tree induced by automobile exhaust in Dehradun, Uttarakhand. *New York Science Journal* 3, 45-51.
- Clark, A., Saucier, J. R. and McNab, W. H. (1986).** Total-tree weight, stem weight and volume tables for hardwood species in the Southeast, Research Division, Georgia Forestry Commission, p5.
- DeWald, S., Scott, J. and Becky, E. (2005).** Heating with wood: Producing, harvesting and processing firewood. Institute of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Nebraska, p55.
- Durrani, G. F., Hassan, M., Baloch, M. K and Hameed, G. (2004).** Effect of traffic pollution on plant photosynthesis. *Journal of Chemistry and Sociology* 26, 176-179.
- Freilicher, M. (2012).** Carbon Sequestration and Urban Trees. The citizen forester, Massachusetts urban and community forestry program, pp. 1-2.
- Getter, K. L., Rowe, D. B and Andresen, J. A. (2007).** Quantifying the effect of slope on extensive green roof storm water retention. *Ecological Engineering* 31, 225 - 231.
- Getter, K. L., Rowe, D. B and Robertson, G. P. (2009).** Carbon sequestration potential of

- extensive green roofs. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 43, 7564-7570.
- Johnson, A. D and Gerhold, H. D. (2001).** Carbon storage by utility-compatible trees. *Journal of Arboriculture*, 27 (2), 57-68.
- Kadas, G. (2006).** Rare invertebrates colonizing green roofs in London. *Urban Habitats*, 4, 66-86.
- Kaul, M., Mohren, G. M. J and Dhadwal, V. K. (2010).** Carbon storage and sequestration potential of selected tree species in India. Mitigation, Adaptation and Strategy for Global Change. *Springer Nature*, 15 (5), 489-510.
- Khan, A. B and Miria, A. (2013).** Annual growth rate and carbon storage in select multipurpose trees - a case study. *International Journal of Current Science*, 6, E40-45
- Kulkarni, M., Dighe, S., Sawant, A., Oswal, P., Sahasrabudhe, K. and Patwardhan, A. (2001).** Institution: Biodiversity hotspots in urban areas, Tropical Ecosystems, Structure, diversity and human welfare, pp. 693-695.
- Kuronuma, T and Watanabe, H. (2017).** Relevance of carbon sequestration to the physiological and morphological traits of several green roof plants during the first year after construction. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 8, 14-27.
- Mabo, C. B. (2006).** Temperature variation in northern Nigeria between 1970 and 2000. *Journal of Energy and Environment*, 19(1), 80-88.
- Negi, J. D. S., Manhas, R. K. and Chauhan, P. S. (2003).** Carbon allocation in different components of some tree species of India: a new approach for carbon estimation. *Current Science*, 85, 1528-1531.
- Narwaria, Y.S. and Kush, K. (2012).** Environmental assessment of air pollution on roadside plants species at Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India. *Journal of Environmental Research and Development*, 7, 710-714.
- Ondono, S., Martinez-Sanchez, J. J and Moreno, J. L. (2016).** The Composition and depth of green roof substrates affect the growth of silene vulgaris and laguru sovatus species and the C and N sequestration under two irrigation conditions. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 166, 330-340.
- Odjudo, P. A. O. (2011).** Climate change and global warming: the Nigerian perspective. *Journal of Sustainable Development and Environmental Protection*, 1(16), 6-17.
- Poorter, H and Nagel, O. (2000).** The role of biomass allocation in the growth response of plants to different levels of light, CO₂, nutrients and water: a quantitative review. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology*, 27, 595-607.
- Righetti, B., Ried, D. M and Thorpe, T. A. (1996).** Growth and tissue senescence in *Prunusaviumm* shoots grown in vitro at different CO₂/O₂ ratios, *In vitro* cellular and developmental biology. *Plant*, 32, 290-294.
- Sailor, D. J. (2008).** A green roof model for building energy simulation programs. *Energy and Buildings*, 40, 1466-1478.
- Sulpice, R., Pyl, E. T., Ishihara, H., Trenkamp, S., Steinfath, M., Wall, H. W., Gibon, Y., Usadel, B., Poree, F., Korff, M. V., Steinhauser, M. C., Keurentjes, J. J. B., Guenther, M., Hoehne, M., Selbig, J., Fernie, A. R., Altmann, T and Stitt, M. (2009).** Starch as a major integrator in the regulation of plant growth. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 10348-10353.
- Tangley, L. (2001).** High CO₂ levels may give fast-growing trees an edge. *Science*, 292, 36-37.
- Villarreal, E.L. and Bengtsson, L. (2005).** Response of sedum green-roof to individual rain events. *Ecological Engineering*, 25, 1-7.

- Voronin, P. Y. and Black, C. C. (2005).** The importance and place of the photosynthetic carbon sequestration in the organic branch of its global cycle, *Russian Journal of Plant Physiology*, pp. 69 – 76.
- Wong, N. H., Cheong, D. K. W., Yan, H., Soh, J., Ong, C. L and Sia, A. (2003).** The effects of roof top garden on energy consumption of a commercial building in Singapore. *Energy and Buildings*, 35, 353-364.